BEGIN of Review

Title of the Paper:

Please describe briefly <u>with your own words</u> what this paper is about:

This paper reports on x … (do not evaluate at this point, just describe)

1) Originality: Does the paper contain significant content to justify publication? What are novel aspects? Did you check for plagiarism, e.g. with a quick Google search?

Novel aspects include the topic x …

2) Related Work: Is there enough background and relevant related work? Are any relevant references missing? Please provide recommendations.

The following important related papers are missing …

3) Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory and concepts? Are the methods used appropriately described?

The methods x are …

4) Results: Are the results presented clearly and appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

The results are …

5) Qualitative Evaluation: Is the paper well written? Is it clear, readable and comprehensive? Sentence structure, acronym explanation, typos, etc. ok?

The paper is …

6) Quantitative Evaluation: *Given that the worst paper you have ever read receives 0 and the best paper ever receives 100 points – how many points would you assign to this paper: XX (0 … 100)*

FINAL RECOMMENDATION
A=Accept - B=Minor Revision – C=Major Revision – D=Reject

In case of A, B, or C - please outline how the authors can improve their paper: What should the authors do? What should they expand/remove etc.? What should they improve? What would you like to read?
(use additional space as you need it)

END of review